## School Renewal Plan Cover Page

Renewal Plan for 5 Year Cycle: 2018/19 to 2023/24 Upcoming School Year: 2023/24

| School Name: | Meadow Glen Middle |
| :---: | :---: |
| SIDN: | 3201068 |
| Plan Submission: | School utilizes Cognia |
| Grade Span: | 6 To 8 |
| District: | Lexington 01 |
| Address 1: | 440 Ginny Lane |
| Address 2: |  |
| City: | Lexington, SC |
| Zip Code: | 29072 |
| School Renewal Plan Contact Person: | Bill Coon |
| School Plan Contact Phone: | 8038210600 |
| School Plan E-mail Address: | bcoon@lexington1.net |

## Required Signature Page

The school renewal plan, or annual update, includes elements required by the Early Childhood Development and Academic Assistance Act of 1993 (Act 135) (S.C. Code Ann. 59-139-10 et seq. (Supp. 2004)), the Education Accountability Act of 1998 (EAA) (S.C. Code Ann. 59-18-1300 et seq (Supp. 2004)), and SBE Regulation 43-261. The signatures of the chairperson of the board of trustees, the superintendent, the principal, and the chairperson of the School Improvement Council, and the School Read to Succeed Literacy Leadership team lead are affirmation of active participation of key stakeholders and alignment with Act 135 and EAA requirements.

Assurances for the School Renewal Plans
The assurance pages following this page have been completed and the district superintendent sand school principals signature below attests that the school/district complies with all applicable assurances requirements including ACT 135 assurance pages.
Required Printed Names and Signatures


## Assurances for School Renewal Plan

Assurances checked below, along with the signature page signed by the superintendent and school principal, attest that the school complies with all applicable regulatory and statutory requirements listed.

| Early Childhood Development and Academic Assistance Act (Act 135) Assurances (S.C. Code Ann §59-139-10 et seq. (Supp. 2004)) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| N/A | Academic Assistance, PreK-3 <br> The school makes special efforts to assist children in PreK-3 who demonstrate a need for extra or alternative instructional attention (e.g., after-school homework help centers, individual tutoring, and group remediation). |
| Yes | Academic Assistance, Grades 4-12 <br> The school makes special efforts to assist children in grades 4-12 who demonstrate a need for extra or alternative instructional attention (e.g., after-school homework help centers, individual tutoring, and group remediation). |
| Yes | Parent Involvement <br> The school encourages and assists parents in becoming more involved in their children's education. Some examples of parental involvement initiatives include making special efforts to meet with parents at times more convenient for them; providing parents with their child's individual test results and an interpretation of the results; providing parents with information on the district's curriculum and assessment program; providing frequent, two way communication between home and school; providing parents an opportunity to participate on decision making groups; designating space in schools for parents to access educational resource materials; including parent involvement expectations as part of the principal's and superintendent's evaluations; and providing parents with information pertaining to expectations held for them by the school system, such as ensuring attendance and punctuality of their children. |
| Yes | Staff Development <br> The school provides staff development training for teachers and administrators in the teaching techniques and strategies needed to implement the school/district plan for the improvement of student academic performance. The staff development program reflects requirements of Act 135, the EAA, and the National Staff Development Council's revised Standards for Staff Development. |
| Yes | Technology <br> The school integrates technology into professional development, curriculum development, and classroom instruction to improve teaching and learning. |
| Yes | Innovation <br> The school uses innovation funds for innovative activities to improve student learning and accelerate the performance of all students. |
| Yes | Collaboration <br> The school (regardless of the grades served) collaborates with health and human services agencies (e.g., county health departments, social services departments, mental health departments, First Steps, and the family court system). |


| Yes | Developmental Screening <br> The school ensures that the young child receives all services necessary for growth and <br> development. Instruments are used to assess physical, social, emotional, linguistic, and <br> cognitive developmental levels. This program normally is appropriate at primary and <br> elementary schools, although screening efforts could take place at any location. |
| :--- | :--- |
| N/A | Half-Day Child Development <br> The school provides half-day child development programs for four-year-olds (some <br> districts fund full-day programs). The programs usually function at primary and <br> elementary schools. However, they may be housed at locations with other grade levels <br> or completely separate from schools. |
| N/A | Developmentally Appropriate Curriculum for PreK-3 <br> The school ensures that the scope and sequence of the curriculum for PreK-3 are <br> appropriate for the maturation levels of students. Instructional practices accommodate <br> individual differences in maturation level and take into account the student's social and <br> cultural context. |
| Yes | Parenting and Family Literacy <br> The school provides a four component program that integrates all of the following <br> activities: interactive literacy activities between parents and their children (Interactive |
| Literacy Activities); training for parents regarding how to be the primary teachers for <br> their children and how to be full partners in the education of their children (parenting <br> skills for adults, parent education); parent literacy training that leads to economic <br> self-sufficiency (adult education); and an age-appropriate education to prepare children |  |
| for success in school and life experiences (early childhood education). Family Literacy |  |
| is not grade specific, but is generally most appropriate for parents of children at the |  |
| primary and elementary school levels and below as well as for secondary school |  |
| students who are parents. Family Literacy program goals are to strengthen parental |  |
| involvement in the learning process of preschool children ages birth through five |  |
| years; to promote school readiness of preschool children; to offer parents special |  |
| opportunities to improve their literacy skills and education; to provide parents a chance |  |
| to recover from dropping out of school; and to identify potential developmental delays |  |
| in preschool children by offering developmental screening. |  |$|$

## Stakeholder Involvement for School Renewal Plan

List the name of persons who were involved in the development of the School Renewal Plan. A participant for each numbered position is required.

|  | Position | Name |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Principal | Dr. Bill Coon |
| 2. | Teacher | Ashley Holmes |
| 3. | Parent/Guardian | Dana Halladay |
| 4. | Community Member | Donnie Matthews |
| 5. | Paraprofessional | Kari Gallaher |
| 6. | School Improvement Council Member | Nick Hill |
| 7. | Read to Succeed Reading Coach | Mindy Matthews |
| 8. | School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Lead | Maggie Frick |
| 9. | School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Member | Lauren Black |
|  | OTHERS (May include school board members, district or school administrators, students, PTO members, agency <br> representatives, university partners, Head Start representatives, First Step representatives, etc.) <br> ** Must include the School Literacy Leadership Team for Read to Succeed |  |

## District Requested Strategic/Renewal Plan Waiver

The State Board of Education has the authority to waive regulations pursuant to (SBE Regulation 43-261) (C) District and School Planning which states the following:

Upon request of a district board of trustees or its designee, the State Board of Education may waive any regulation that would impede the implementation of an approved district strategic plan or school renewal plan.

All waivers must be requested in writing, signed by the local superintendent, and approved by the local school board prior to being sent to State Accountability. Use the following link to obtain more information on the waiver process:
http://ed.sc.gov/districts-schools/state-accountability/waiver-requests/

| District Wavier <br> Requested and Approved | Explain how the SBE Regulation would impede the implementation of an approved district strategic or <br> school renewal plan. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Extension for initial <br> District Strategic and <br> School Renewal Plans <br> (SBE Regulation 43-261) |  |
| 2. Teachers teaching more <br> than 1500 minutes <br> (SBE Regulation 43-205) |  |
| 3. Teachers teaching more <br> than 4 preps <br> (SBE Regulation 43-205) |  |
| 4. High School Principal <br> over two schools or grades <br> more than 9-12 <br> (SBE Regulation 43-205) | 4exington County School District One received a waiver for SBE Regulation 43-232 from the S.C. Department of <br> (Iner |
| 5. Other <br> (Include the SBE <br> Regulation number to be <br> waived) | Education to allow grade six dual language immersion students to earn high school credit when they demonstrate <br> appropriate proficiency at the end of their language course. This waiver allows dual immersion students, who have <br> been on an accelerated pathway for language acquisition in elementary school, to progress on time with the <br> requisite skills for the next level of their language proficiency. |
| 6. Other <br> (Include the SBE <br> Regulation number to be <br> waived) | Lexington County School District One received a waiver for SBE Regulations 43-231 (II), 43-232 (I), 43-234 <br> (VI)(C)(1), 43-234 (II)(b), and 43-234 (VI)(C)(I) from the S.C. Department of Education, which gives our <br> students enrolled in the Lexington One Online Learning Academy access to a flexible pathway to the next grade <br> level that is not bound by pace, place, or time. This waiver empowers students to demonstrate mastery of standards <br> through synchronous and asynchronous teaching and learning experiences. |
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## Lexington District One Vision

Empower each child to design the future.

## Lexington District One Mission

Our mission is to cultivate
a caring community
where ALL learners
are extraordinary communicators, collaborators, creators and critical thinkers.

## System Commitments

The idea of 'system commitments' rather than strategic goals indicates that these are promises we make to ourselves, our students and our community, rather than numerical goals to check off. Annual performance goals will be driven by our commitments.

1. All students, regardless of circumstances, advance on time, prepared to graduate and ready to enter college, the military or industry with certification.
2. Teaching and learning develop power skills in all students.
3. Our schools are service-oriented centers of learning, committed to family and community partnerships.
4. Every adult will be equipped with the skills and resources necessary to advocate for and ensure the success of all students.

MGMS 2021-22 Report Card

|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | SC READY \% Meets or Exceeds for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | 21-22 | All | All | 64.6\% |  | (638 / 987) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 66.1\% |  | (629 / 952) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 25.7\% |  | (9/35) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 68.9\% |  | (328/476) |
|  |  |  | Male | 60.7\% |  | (310 / 511) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 69.0\% |  | (631/915) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 9.7\% |  | (7/72) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 41.5\% |  | (66/159) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 69.1\% |  | ( 572 / 828) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 64.6\% |  | (614/950) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 66.2\% |  | (606/915) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 22.9\% |  | (8/35) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 68.6\% |  | ( $325 / 474$ ) |
|  |  |  | Male | 60.7\% |  | (289/476) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 68.6\% |  | ( $606 / 884$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 12.1\% |  | (8/66) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 46.7\% |  | (70/150) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 68.0\% |  | (544 / 800) |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 61.5\% |  | (633 / 1030) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 63.1\% |  | (625 / 990) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 20.0\% |  | (8/40) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 68.7\% |  | (369/537) |
|  |  |  | Male | 53.5\% |  | (264/493) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 64.6\% |  | (628/972) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 8.6\% |  | $(5 / 58)$ |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 35.1\% |  | (60/171) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 66.7\% |  | ( 573 / 859) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 56.1\% |  | ( $599 / 1068$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 57.9\% |  | ( $588 / 1016$ ) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 21.2\% |  | $(11 / 52)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 64.8\% |  | ( $355 / 548$ ) |
|  |  |  | Male | 46.9\% |  | ( $244 / 520$ ) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 59.9\% |  | (598/998) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 1.4\% | \| | $(1 / 70)$ |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 32.8\% |  | (62 / 189) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 61.1\% |  | ( $537 / 879$ ) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 57.0\% |  | (660 / 1158) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 58.6\% |  | (649 / 1108) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 22.0\% |  | Papre/ 5bp of |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | SC READY \% Meets or Exceeds for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | 16-17 | Gender | Female | 64.6\% |  | (378/585) |
|  |  |  | Male | 49.2\% |  | (282 / 573) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 59.9\% |  | (653 / 1090) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 10.3\% |  | ( $7 / 68$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 29.6\% |  | (48/162) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 61.4\% |  | (612 / 996) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 61.2\% |  | (662 / 1082) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 63.0\% |  | (647 / 1027) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 27.3\% |  | (15/55) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 67.9\% |  | (377/555) |
|  |  |  | Male | 54.1\% |  | (285 / 527) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 64.2\% |  | (650 / 1013) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 17.4\% |  | (12/69) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 34.0\% |  | ( $54 / 159$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 65.9\% |  | (608 / 923) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | SC READY \% Meets or Exceeds for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math | 21-22 | All | All | 52.0\% |  | (513 / 987) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 53.0\% |  | (505 / 952) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 22.9\% |  | (8/35) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 48.9\% |  | (233 / 476) |
|  |  |  | Male | 54.8\% |  | (280/511) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 55.4\% |  | (507 / 915) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 8.3\% |  | (6/72) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 30.2\% |  | (48 / 159) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 56.2\% |  | (465 / 828) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 51.0\% |  | (486 / 953) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 52.5\% |  | (482 / 918) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 11.4\% |  | (4/35) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 48.0\% |  | (227 / 473) |
|  |  |  | Male | 54.0\% |  | (259 / 480) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 54.2\% |  | (481 / 887) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 7.6\% |  | (5 / 66) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 34.0\% |  | (51/150) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 54.2\% |  | (435 / 803) |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 57.6\% |  | (593 / 1030) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 58.3\% |  | (577 / 990) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 40.0\% |  | (16/40) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 60.0\% |  | (322 / 537) |
|  |  |  | Male | 55.0\% |  | (271 / 493) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 60.8\% |  | (591 / 972) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 3.4\% | $\square$ | (2 / 58) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 31.6\% |  | (54/171) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 62.7\% |  | (539 / 859) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 55.0\% |  | (587 / 1068) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 56.3\% |  | ( 572 / 1016) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 28.8\% |  | $(15 / 52)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 57.8\% |  | (317/548) |
|  |  |  | Male | 51.9\% |  | (270 / 520) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 58.6\% |  | (585 / 998) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 2.9\% | $\square$ | ( $2 / 70$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 26.5\% |  | (50 / 189) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 61.1\% |  | (537 / 879) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 52.2\% |  | (608 / 1164) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 53.7\% |  | ( $596 / 1109$ ) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 21.8\% |  | $(12 / 55)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 53.1\% |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Page } \\ (311 / 586) \end{gathered}$ |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | SC READY \% Meets or Exceeds for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math | 16-17 | Gender | Male | 51.4\% |  | (297 / 578) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 54.9\% |  | $(601 / 1094)$ |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 10.0\% |  | (7/70) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 21.7\% |  | (36 / 166) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 57.3\% |  | (572 / 998) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 54.0\% |  | (585 / 1083) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 55.7\% |  | (572 / 1027) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 23.2\% |  | $(13 / 56)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 53.9\% |  | (299 / 555) |
|  |  |  | Male | 54.2\% |  | (286 / 528) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 56.6\% |  | ( 574 / 1014) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 15.9\% |  | $(11 / 69)$ |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 23.3\% |  | (37/159) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 59.3\% |  | (548 / 924) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | SCPASS \% Meets or Exceeds for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Science | 21-22 | All | All | 63.0\% |  | (208/330) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 63.7\% |  | (202 / 317) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 46.2\% |  | $(6 / 13)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 62.6\% |  | (97/155) |
|  |  |  | Male | 63.4\% |  | (111/175) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 65.9\% |  | (203/308) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 22.7\% |  | ( $5 / 22$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 32.7\% |  | (16/49) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 68.3\% |  | (192/281) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 62.3\% |  | (198/318) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 64.4\% |  | (195/303) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 20.0\% |  | ( $3 / 15$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 59.2\% |  | (90/152) |
|  |  |  | Male | 65.1\% |  | (108/166) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 67.7\% |  | (195/288) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 10.0\% |  | ( $3 / 30$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 57.7\% |  | ( $30 / 52$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 63.2\% |  | ( $168 / 266$ ) |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 62.8\% |  | (427 / 680) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 63.8\% |  | (420 / 658) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 31.8\% |  | ( $7 / 22$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 63.7\% |  | (223/350) |
|  |  |  | Male | 61.8\% |  | (204/330) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 65.4\% |  | (423 / 647) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 12.1\% |  | ( $4 / 33$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 39.2\% |  | ( $40 / 102$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 67.0\% |  | ( $387 / 578$ ) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 66.5\% |  | (469 / 705) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 68.4\% |  | (457 / 668) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 32.4\% |  | (12/37) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 67.1\% |  | ( $243 / 362$ ) |
|  |  |  | Male | 65.9\% |  | (226/343) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 70.5\% |  | (462/655) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 14.0\% |  | ( $7 / 50$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 38.6\% |  | ( $51 / 132$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 72.9\% |  | $(418 / 573)$ |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 62.5\% |  | (729 / 1166) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 64.1\% |  | (712 / 1111) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 30.9\% |  | Patiel 55 F of |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | SCPASS \% Meets or Exceeds for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Science | 16-17 | Gender | Female | 63.0\% |  | (369 / 586) |
|  |  |  | Male | 62.1\% |  | (360 / 580) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 65.2\% |  | (714 / 1095) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 21.1\% |  | (15 / 71) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 31.9\% |  | (53 / 166) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 67.6\% |  | (676 / 1000) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 82.5\% |  | (893 / 1082) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 84.5\% |  | (867 / 1026) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 46.4\% |  | (26/56) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 84.4\% |  | (467 / 553) |
|  |  |  | Male | 80.5\% |  | (426 / 529) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 85.2\% |  | (863 / 1013) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 43.5\% |  | (30/69) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 57.9\% |  | (92 / 159) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 86.8\% |  | (801 / 923) |
|  | 14-15 | All | All | 82.2\% |  | (853 / 1038) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 82.7\% |  | (825 / 998) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 70.0\% |  | (28/40) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 84.5\% |  | $(443 / 524)$ |
|  |  |  | Male | 79.8\% |  | ( $410 / 514$ ) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 85.2\% |  | (826 / 969) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 39.1\% |  | (27 / 69) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 61.8\% |  | (84/136) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 85.3\% |  | (769 / 902) |
|  | 13-14 | All | All | 84.3\% |  | (525 / 623) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 84.3\% |  | (525 / 623) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 84.6\% |  | (269 / 318) |
|  |  |  | Male | 83.9\% |  | (256 / 305) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 87.2\% |  | ( $511 / 586$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 37.8\% |  | (14/37) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 69.2\% |  | (63/91) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 86.8\% |  | (462 / 532) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | SCPASS \% Meets or Exceeds for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social Studies | 18-19 | All | All | 78.6\% |  | (276 / 351) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 80.2\% |  | (267 / 333) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 50.0\% |  | (9 / 18) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 78.1\% |  | (146 / 187) |
|  |  |  | Male | 79.3\% |  | (130 / 164) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 82.5\% |  | (269 / 326) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 28.0\% |  | (7/25) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 63.8\% |  | (44 / 69) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 82.3\% |  | (232 / 282) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 79.3\% |  | (288/363) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 81.0\% |  | (282 / 348) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 40.0\% |  | (6/15) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 84.4\% |  | (157 / 186) |
|  |  |  | Male | 74.0\% |  | (131 / 177) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 82.2\% |  | (282 / 343) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 30.0\% |  | (6/20) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 57.9\% |  | (33/57) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 83.3\% |  | (255 / 306) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 83.8\% |  | (975 / 1163) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 84.8\% |  | (940 / 1108) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 63.6\% |  | (35/55) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 84.9\% |  | (496 / 584) |
|  |  |  | Male | 82.7\% |  | (479 / 579) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 86.3\% |  | $(943 / 1093)$ |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 45.7\% |  | (32 / 70) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 63.3\% |  | (105 / 166) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 87.3\% |  | (870 / 997) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 86.3\% |  | (934 / 1082) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 87.6\% |  | (899 / 1026) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 62.5\% |  | (35 / 56) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 88.1\% |  | (487/553) |
|  |  |  | Male | 84.5\% |  | (447 / 529) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 88.9\% |  | (901/ 1013) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 47.8\% |  | (33/69) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 72.3\% |  | (115 / 159) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 88.7\% |  | (819 / 923) |
|  | 14-15 | All | All | 88.5\% |  | (918 / 1037) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 89.0\% |  | (887 / 997) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 77.5\% |  | $(31 / 40)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 90.6\% |  | $(475 / 524)$ |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | SCPASS \% Meets or Exceeds for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social Studies | 14-15 | Gender | Male | 86.4\% |  | $(443 / 513)$ |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 91.0\% |  | (881 / 968) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 53.6\% |  | (37/69) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 75.0\% |  | (102 / 136) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 90.6\% |  | (816 / 901) |
|  | 13-14 | All | All | 83.8\% |  | (523 / 624) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 83.8\% |  | (523 / 624) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 83.8\% |  | (263 / 314) |
|  |  |  | Male | 83.9\% |  | (260 / 310) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 86.6\% |  | (506 / 584) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 42.5\% |  | (17/40) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 69.3\% |  | (61/88) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 86.2\% |  | (462 / 536) |



|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students passing the EOCEP test for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algebra 1 | 15-16 | All | All | 98.3\% |  | (174 / 177) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 98.3\% |  | (174 / 177) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 98.8\% |  | (85/86) |
|  |  |  | Male | 97.8\% |  | (89/91) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 98.3\% |  | (171/174) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 100.0\% |  | (3/3) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 100.0\% |  | (15/15) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 98.1\% |  | (159 / 162) |
|  | 14-15 | All | All | 100.0\% |  | (167/167) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 100.0\% |  | (153/153) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 100.0\% |  | ( $14 / 14$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 100.0\% |  | (94/94) |
|  |  |  | Male | 100.0\% |  | (73/73) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 100.0\% |  | (167/167) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 100.0\% |  | (15/15) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 100.0\% |  | (152/152) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students passing the EOCEP test for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English 1 | 18-19 | All | All | 99.4\% |  | (176 / 177) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 99.4\% |  | (176/177) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 100.0\% |  | (103 / 103) |
|  |  |  | Male | 98.6\% |  | (73/74) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 99.4\% |  | (176 / 177) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 100.0\% |  | (17/17) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 99.4\% |  | (159 / 160) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 99.5\% |  | (200/201) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 99.5\% |  | (198/ 199) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 100.0\% |  | ( $2 / 2$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 100.0\% |  | $(121 / 121)$ |
|  |  |  | Male | 98.7\% |  | (78/79) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 100.0\% |  | (199 / 199) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 50.0\% |  | (1/2) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 100.0\% |  | (20/20) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 99.4\% |  | (179 / 180) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 99.0\% |  | (205 / 207) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 99.0\% |  | (204/206) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 100.0\% |  | (1/1) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 100.0\% |  | $(116 / 116)$ |
|  |  |  | Male | 97.8\% |  | (89/91) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 99.0\% |  | (204/206) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 100.0\% |  | (1/1) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 91.7\% |  | $(11 / 12)$ |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 99.5\% |  | (194/195) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 99.4\% |  | (166 / 167) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 99.4\% |  | (165 / 166) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 100.0\% |  | ( $1 / 1$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 100.0\% |  | (90/90) |
|  |  |  | Male | 98.7\% |  | (76/77) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 99.4\% |  | (164/165) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 100.0\% |  | ( $2 / 2$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 100.0\% |  | (14/14) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 99.3\% |  | (152/153) |
|  | 14-15 | All | All | 98.3\% |  | (178/181) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 98.7\% |  | (156/158) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 95.7\% |  | (22 / 23) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 99.1\% |  | (108/109) |
|  |  |  | Male | 97.2\% |  | P9700/72) of |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students <br> passing the EOCEP test <br> for Meadow Glen <br> Middle School | Number of <br> Students |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English 1 |  |  |  |  | $(178 / 181)$ |  |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent Enrolled in one or more courses at the grouped level Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accelerated/ Eagles | 21-22 | All | All | 37.9\% |  | (399 / 1054) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 36.9\% |  | (338/915) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 43.9\% |  | (61/139) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 36.5\% |  | (184/504) |
|  |  |  | Male | 39.1\% |  | (215/550) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 41.2\% |  | (398/967) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 1.1\% | - | ( $1 / 87$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 22.6\% |  | (51/226) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 42.0\% |  | (348/828) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 35.5\% |  | (373/1050) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 35.0\% |  | (324 / 925) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 39.2\% |  | (49 / 125) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 34.4\% |  | (178/517) |
|  |  |  | Male | 36.6\% |  | (195/533) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 38.1\% |  | (369/969) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 4.9\% |  | ( $4 / 81$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 24.1\% |  | (55/228) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 38.7\% |  | (318/822) |
|  | 19-20 | All | All | 32.2\% |  | (355 / 1103) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 32.2\% |  | (318/987) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 31.9\% |  | ( $37 / 116$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 31.4\% |  | (174/555) |
|  |  |  | Male | 33.0\% |  | (181/548) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 34.6\% |  | (352 / 1018) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 3.5\% |  | ( $3 / 85$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 21.3\% |  | (54/254) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 35.5\% |  | (301/849) |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 36.9\% |  | (392 / 1061) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 37.6\% |  | (366/974) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 29.9\% |  | $(26 / 87)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 39.3\% |  | (214/544) |
|  |  |  | Male | 34.4\% |  | (178/517) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 39.3\% |  | (390/992) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 2.9\% | $\square$ | ( $2 / 69$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 25.2\% |  | (57/226) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 40.1\% |  | (335/835) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 37.3\% |  | ( $411 / 1101$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 38.6\% |  | 13959 1022) of |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent Enrolled in one or more courses at the grouped level Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accelerated/ Eagles | 17-18 | ELL | ELL | 19.2\% |  | (15/78) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 38.9\% |  | (217 / 558) |
|  |  |  | Male | 35.7\% |  | (194/543) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 40.0\% |  | (408/1020) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 2.5\% |  | ( $2 / 80$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 19.0\% |  | (41/216) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 41.8\% |  | (370 / 885) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 36.7\% |  | ( $445 / 1211$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 38.4\% |  | ( $441 / 1149$ ) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 6.5\% |  | (4/62) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 38.3\% |  | (232 / 605) |
|  |  |  | Male | 35.1\% |  | (213/606) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 39.1\% |  | ( $441 / 1129$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 4.9\% |  | (4/82) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 15.1\% |  | (27 / 179) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 40.5\% |  | (418 / 1032) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 37.2\% |  | ( $418 / 1123$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 38.6\% |  | ( $411 / 1066$ ) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 12.3\% |  | ( 7 / 57) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 39.3\% |  | (225 / 572) |
|  |  |  | Male | 35.0\% |  | (193/551) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 39.5\% |  | ( $411 / 1041$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 8.5\% |  | (7/82) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 14.3\% |  | ( $24 / 168$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 41.3\% |  | (394 / 955) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent Enrolled in one or more courses at the grouped level Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Honors | 21-22 | All | All | 18.0\% |  | (190 / 1054) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 17.9\% |  | (164/915) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 18.7\% |  | (26 / 139) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 18.8\% |  | (95 / 504) |
|  |  |  | Male | 17.3\% |  | (95/550) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 19.6\% |  | (190/967) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 0.0\% |  | (0/87) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 10.6\% |  | (24/226) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 20.0\% |  | (166/828) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 20.3\% |  | ( $213 / 1050$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 19.7\% |  | (182/925) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 24.8\% |  | ( $31 / 125$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 23.6\% |  | (122/517) |
|  |  |  | Male | 17.1\% |  | (91/533) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 21.9\% |  | (212/969) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 1.2\% | I | ( $1 / 81$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 14.9\% |  | ( $34 / 228$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 21.8\% |  | (179/822) |
|  | 19-20 | All | All | 19.9\% |  | ( $220 / 1103$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 20.5\% |  | (202 / 987) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 15.5\% |  | $(18 / 116)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 21.3\% |  | $(118 / 555)$ |
|  |  |  | Male | 18.6\% |  | (102/548) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 21.6\% |  | ( $220 / 1018$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 0.0\% |  | ( $0 / 85$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 7.9\% |  | (20/254) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 23.6\% |  | (200/849) |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 21.8\% |  | ( $231 / 1061$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 22.3\% |  | (217/974) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 16.1\% |  | (14/87) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 22.8\% |  | (124/544) |
|  |  |  | Male | 20.7\% |  | (107/517) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 23.3\% |  | (231/992) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 0.0\% |  | ( 0 / 69) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 11.1\% |  | (25 / 226) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 24.7\% |  | (206/835) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 21.6\% |  | ( $238 / 1101$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 22.8\% |  | (233/1022) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 6.4\% |  | Pa(59978) ${ }^{4}$ of |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent Enrolled in one or more courses at the grouped level Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Honors | 17-18 | Gender | Female | 23.1\% |  | (129 / 558) |
|  |  |  | Male | 20.1\% |  | (109 / 543) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 23.2\% |  | (237 / 1020) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 1.3\% | I | ( $1 / 80$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 10.6\% |  | (23/216) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 24.3\% |  | (215 / 885) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 20.3\% |  | ( $246 / 1211$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 21.1\% |  | (242 / 1149) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 6.5\% |  | (4/62) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 22.6\% |  | (137 / 605) |
|  |  |  | Male | 18.0\% |  | (109 / 606) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 21.7\% |  | (245 / 1129) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 1.2\% | I | ( $1 / 82$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 7.8\% |  | (14/179) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 22.5\% |  | (232 / 1032) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 17.9\% |  | ( $201 / 1123$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 18.3\% |  | (195 / 1066) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 10.5\% |  | (6/57) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 17.7\% |  | (101/572) |
|  |  |  | Male | 18.1\% |  | (100/551) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 19.0\% |  | (198/1041) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 3.7\% |  | ( $3 / 82$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 10.7\% |  | (18/168) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 19.2\% |  | (183/955) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students absent 10 percent or more of their membership days for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chronic <br> Absences | 21-22 | All | All | 15.0\% |  | (159 / 1060) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 15.1\% |  | (146 / 970) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 14.4\% |  | (13/90) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 15.0\% |  | $(76 / 506)$ |
|  |  |  | Male | 15.0\% |  | (83 / 554) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 14.2\% |  | (137/966) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 23.4\% |  | (22 / 94) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 19.7\% |  | (41/208) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 13.8\% |  | (118 / 852) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 19.0\% |  | (200 / 1050) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 19.3\% |  | (188/973) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 15.6\% |  | (12/77) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 17.8\% |  | (92 / 517) |
|  |  |  | Male | 20.3\% |  | (108/533) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 18.3\% |  | (177 / 969) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 28.4\% |  | ( $23 / 81$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 25.9\% |  | (59/228) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 17.2\% |  | (141/822) |
|  | 19-20 | All | All | 8.0\% |  | (88/1103) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 8.0\% |  | (85 / 1063) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 7.5\% |  | ( $3 / 40$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 8.6\% |  | $(48 / 555)$ |
|  |  |  | Male | 7.3\% |  | (40/548) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 7.7\% |  | (78/1018) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 11.8\% |  | (10/85) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 13.8\% |  | (35 / 254) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 6.2\% |  | (53/849) |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 5.6\% |  | (59 / 1061) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 5.3\% |  | (54/1020) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 12.2\% |  | ( $5 / 41$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 5.9\% |  | (32 / 544) |
|  |  |  | Male | 5.2\% |  | ( $27 / 517$ ) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 5.3\% |  | (53/992) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 8.7\% |  | $(6 / 69)$ |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 6.6\% |  | (15/226) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 5.3\% |  | (44/835) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 6.8\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Page } 26 \\ & (75 / 1107) \end{aligned}$ |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students absent 10 percent or more of their membership days for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chronic <br> Absences | 17-18 | ELL | Not ELL | 7.2\% |  | (76 / 1056) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 5.4\% |  | ( $3 / 56$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 6.2\% |  | $(35 / 562)$ |
|  |  |  | Male | 7.3\% |  | $(40 / 545)$ |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 5.5\% |  | (56/1023) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 11.9\% |  | (10/84) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 8.6\% |  | (19/221) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 6.3\% |  | (56 / 886) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 8.9\% |  | (109 / 1221) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 9.0\% |  | (104/1159) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 8.1\% |  | ( $5 / 62$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 9.3\% |  | (57/611) |
|  |  |  | Male | 8.5\% |  | (52 / 610) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 8.8\% |  | ( $100 / 1139$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 11.0\% |  | ( $9 / 82$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 11.7\% |  | (21 / 179) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 8.4\% |  | (88/1042) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 5.6\% |  | (63/1131) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 5.7\% |  | (61/1071) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 1.8\% | $\square$ | ( $1 / 57$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 7.0\% |  | (40 / 575) |
|  |  |  | Male | 4.1\% |  | (23/556) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 5.6\% |  | (58/1045) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 5.8\% |  | ( $5 / 86$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 6.0\% |  | (10 / 168) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 5.5\% |  | ( $53 / 963$ ) |
|  | 14-15 | All | All | 5.3\% |  | (58/1096) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 5.3\% |  | (56/1053) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 4.7\% |  | ( $2 / 43$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 5.8\% |  | (32/551) |
|  |  |  | Male | 4.8\% |  | $(26 / 545)$ |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 4.9\% |  | (49 / 1010) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 10.5\% |  | ( $9 / 86$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 4.5\% |  | (7/155) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 5.4\% |  | (51/941) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students with one or more referrals or suspensions for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Referrals | 21-22 | All | All | 17.7\% |  | (188/1060) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 18.0\% |  | (175/970) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 14.4\% |  | (13/90) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 11.7\% |  | ( $59 / 506$ ) |
|  |  |  | Male | 23.3\% |  | (129 / 554) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 16.8\% |  | (162 / 966) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 27.7\% |  | (26/94) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 32.6\% |  | (60/184) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 14.6\% |  | (128/876) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 5.4\% |  | (57/1050) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 5.8\% |  | (56/973) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 1.3\% | - | ( $1 / 77$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 3.5\% |  | $(18 / 517)$ |
|  |  |  | Male | 7.3\% |  | ( $39 / 533$ ) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 5.1\% |  | (49 / 969) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 9.9\% |  | (8/81) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 10.1\% |  | (18/179) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 4.5\% |  | (39/871) |
|  | 19-20 | All | All | 12.2\% |  | ( $135 / 1103$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 12.8\% |  | ( $130 / 1014$ ) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 5.6\% |  | ( $5 / 89$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 6.5\% |  | ( $36 / 555$ ) |
|  |  |  | Male | 18.1\% |  | (99 / 548) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 11.8\% |  | ( $120 / 1018$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 17.6\% |  | ( $15 / 85$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 21.7\% |  | (46/212) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 10.0\% |  | (89 / 891) |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 15.6\% |  | ( $165 / 1061$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 15.3\% |  | (150/978) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 18.1\% |  | (15/83) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 7.5\% |  | (41/544) |
|  |  |  | Male | 24.0\% |  | (124/517) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 14.7\% |  | (146/992) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 27.5\% |  | (19 / 69) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 35.9\% |  | (66/184) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 11.3\% |  | (99 / 877) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 19.5\% |  |  |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 19.5\% |  | (204 / 1048) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students with one or more referrals or suspensions for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Referrals | 17-18 | ELL | ELL | 20.5\% |  | (16/78) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 8.9\% |  | (51 / 574) |
|  |  |  | Male | 30.6\% |  | (169 / 552) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 18.8\% |  | (195 / 1038) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 28.4\% |  | (25 / 88) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 32.3\% |  | (61/189) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 17.0\% |  | (159 / 937) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 22.9\% |  | (279 / 1221) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 22.6\% |  | (262 / 1159) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 27.4\% |  | (17/62) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 12.3\% |  | (75 / 611) |
|  |  |  | Male | 33.4\% |  | (204 / 610) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 22.0\% |  | ( $251 / 1139$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 34.1\% |  | (28/82) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 36.9\% |  | (66 / 179) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 20.4\% |  | (213 / 1042) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 19.9\% |  | ( $225 / 1130$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 19.9\% |  | ( $213 / 1073$ ) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 21.1\% |  | $(12 / 57)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 8.2\% |  | (47/575) |
|  |  |  | Male | 32.1\% |  | (178/555) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 19.5\% |  | (204 / 1044) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 24.4\% |  | (21/86) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 37.5\% |  | (63/168) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 16.8\% |  | (162 / 962) |
|  | 14-15 | All | All | 21.1\% |  | (231 / 1096) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 20.9\% |  | ( $220 / 1053$ ) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 25.6\% |  | $(11 / 43)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 10.3\% |  | (57/551) |
|  |  |  | Male | 31.9\% |  | (174/545) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 20.1\% |  | (203 / 1010) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 32.6\% |  | (28/86) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 34.2\% |  | (53/155) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 18.9\% |  | (178/941) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students with one or more referrals or suspensions for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In School Suspensions | 21-22 | All | All | 9.3\% |  | (99 / 1060) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 9.5\% |  | (92 / 970) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 7.8\% |  | (7/90) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 5.9\% |  | ( $30 / 506$ ) |
|  |  |  | Male | 12.5\% |  | (69 / 554) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 9.0\% |  | (87/966) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 12.8\% |  | (12 / 94) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 16.8\% |  | (31 / 184) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 7.8\% |  | (68/876) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 3.3\% |  | (35 / 1050) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 3.5\% |  | (34/973) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 1.3\% | \} | ( $1 / 77$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 2.7\% |  | (14/517) |
|  |  |  | Male | 3.9\% |  | (21/533) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 3.1\% |  | (30/969) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 6.2\% |  | ( 5 / 81) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 7.3\% |  | (13/179) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 2.5\% |  | (22 / 871) |
|  | 19-20 | All | All | 5.4\% |  | (60/1103) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 5.4\% |  | ( $55 / 1014$ ) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 5.6\% |  | ( $5 / 89$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 2.7\% |  | ( $15 / 555$ ) |
|  |  |  | Male | 8.2\% |  | (45/548) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 5.3\% |  | ( $54 / 1018$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 7.1\% |  | (6/85) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 11.8\% |  | (25/212) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 3.9\% |  | (35/891) |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 7.4\% |  | (78 / 1061) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 7.5\% |  | (73/978) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 6.0\% |  | ( $5 / 83$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 2.6\% |  | (14/544) |
|  |  |  | Male | 12.4\% |  | (64/517) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 6.9\% |  | (68/992) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 14.5\% |  | (10/69) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 15.2\% |  | (28/184) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 5.7\% |  | (50 / 877) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 13.2\% |  | (149 / 1126) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 13.3\% |  | $\underline{P} 139 ¢ 1048)$ of |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students with one or more referrals or suspensions for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In School Suspensions | 17-18 | ELL | ELL | 12.8\% |  | (10/78) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 6.1\% |  | (35 / 574) |
|  |  |  | Male | 20.7\% |  | (114/552) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 12.6\% |  | ( $131 / 1038$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 20.5\% |  | (18/88) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 22.2\% |  | (42 / 189) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 11.4\% |  | (107 / 937) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 16.1\% |  | (196/1221) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 16.0\% |  | ( $185 / 1159$ ) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 17.7\% |  | (11/62) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 8.2\% |  | (50/611) |
|  |  |  | Male | 23.9\% |  | (146/610) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 15.5\% |  | ( $177 / 1139$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 23.2\% |  | (19/82) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 25.7\% |  | (46 / 179) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 14.4\% |  | (150 / 1042) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 14.4\% |  | (163 / 1130) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 14.4\% |  | (154 / 1073) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 15.8\% |  | ( $9 / 57$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 5.7\% |  | (33/575) |
|  |  |  | Male | 23.4\% |  | ( $130 / 555$ ) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 14.0\% |  | ( $146 / 1044$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 19.8\% |  | (17/86) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 28.6\% |  | (48/168) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 12.0\% |  | (115 / 962) |
|  | 14-15 | All | All | 14.3\% |  | (157 / 1096) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 14.2\% |  | (150/1053) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 16.3\% |  | (7/43) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 7.4\% |  | (41/551) |
|  |  |  | Male | 21.3\% |  | (116/545) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 13.4\% |  | ( $135 / 1010$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 25.6\% |  | (22/86) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 25.8\% |  | ( $40 / 155$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 12.4\% |  | (117 / 941) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students with one or more referrals or suspensions for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Out of School Suspensions | 21-22 | All | All | 4.2\% |  | (45 / 1060) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 4.2\% |  | (41/970) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 4.4\% |  | (4/90) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 2.4\% |  | (12/506) |
|  |  |  | Male | 6.0\% |  | ( $33 / 554$ ) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 3.5\% |  | (34/966) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 11.7\% |  | $(11 / 94)$ |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 9.2\% |  | (17 / 184) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 3.2\% |  | (28/876) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 0.7\% | \| | (7/1050) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 0.7\% |  | (7/973) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 0.0\% |  | (0/77) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 0.2\% |  | (1/517) |
|  |  |  | Male | 1.1\% | - | (6/533) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 0.5\% |  | ( $5 / 969$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 2.5\% | - | ( $2 / 81$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 1.7\% | $\square$ | (3/179) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 0.5\% |  | (4/871) |
|  | 19-20 | All | All | 1.8\% | $\square$ | (20/1103) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 2.0\% |  | (20 / 1014) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 0.0\% |  | (0/89) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 0.7\% |  | (4/555) |
|  |  |  | Male | 2.9\% |  | (16/548) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 1.7\% | $\square$ | (17 / 1018) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 3.5\% |  | ( $3 / 85$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 3.8\% |  | (8/212) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 1.3\% |  | (12/891) |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 4.1\% |  | (44/1061) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 4.3\% |  | (42 / 978) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 2.4\% | - | (2 / 83) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 2.4\% |  | (13/544) |
|  |  |  | Male | 6.0\% |  | ( $31 / 517$ ) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 4.1\% |  | (41/992) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 4.3\% |  | (3/69) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 11.4\% |  | $(21 / 184)$ |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 2.6\% |  | ( $23 / 877$ ) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 4.1\% |  | (46/1126) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 4.2\% |  | F(44je1048) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students with one or more referrals or suspensions for Meadow Glen Middle School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Out of School Suspensions | 17-18 | ELL | ELL | 2.6\% |  | (2 / 78) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 0.9\% | , | ( $5 / 574$ ) |
|  |  |  | Male | 7.4\% |  | $(41 / 552)$ |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 3.8\% |  | (39 / 1038) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 8.0\% |  | (7 / 88) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 8.5\% |  | $(16 / 189)$ |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 3.2\% |  | (30 / 937) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 5.6\% |  | (68 / 1221) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 5.5\% |  | (64 / 1159) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 6.5\% |  | (4/62) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 2.0\% |  | (12/611) |
|  |  |  | Male | 9.2\% |  | (56/610) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 5.2\% |  | (59 / 1139) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 11.0\% |  | (9/82) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 12.8\% |  | (23/179) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 4.3\% |  | (45 / 1042) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 2.4\% |  | (27/1130) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 2.3\% |  | (25 / 1073) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 3.5\% |  | ( $2 / 57$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 0.3\% |  | (2/575) |
|  |  |  | Male | 4.5\% |  | (25/555) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 2.2\% |  | (23 / 1044) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 4.7\% |  | (4/86) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 6.5\% |  | $(11 / 168)$ |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 1.7\% |  | (16/962) |
|  | 14-15 | All | All | 3.4\% |  | (37 / 1096) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 3.4\% |  | (36 / 1053) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 2.3\% |  | (1/43) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 1.3\% |  | (7/551) |
|  |  |  | Male | 5.5\% |  | ( $30 / 545$ ) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 2.8\% |  | ( $28 / 1010$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 10.5\% |  | (9/86) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 6.5\% |  | $(10 / 155)$ |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 2.9\% |  | (27/941) |

## SCDE Survey Results

## 0-74.9\% Red $\quad 75-84.9 \%$ Yellow $85 \%$ plus Green

Question

## CURRENT WORKING CONDITIONS

I have sufficient space in my classroom to meet th educational needs of my students.

I have access to reliable communication technology, including phone, fax, and e-mail.

I feel supported by administrators at my school.

The faculty and staff at my school have a shared vision.

I am familiar with local, state, and national policies and how they affect teaching and learning.

Local, state, or national policies assist me in meeting the educational needs of my students.

The school leadership makes a sustained effort to address teacher concerns.

My decisions in areas such as instruction and student progress are supported.
My non-instructional duties do not interfere with my essential role of educating students.

|  |
| :--- |
| The school leadership makes a sustained effort to |
| address teacher concerns. |


| $20-21$ |  |  | 51 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $18-19$ |  |  | $60.2 \%$ |
|  | 62 | $83.9 \%$ |  |


| $21-22$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $21-22$ |  |  |  |


| $21-22$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20-21$ |  |  | 51 |


| $21-22$ |  |  | 50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20-21$ |  |  | 51 |
| $18-19$ |  |  | 62 |

98.0\%

| Year | Students | Student <br> Percent <br> Positive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Parents
Parents
Percent Positive

| Question | Year | Students | Student <br> Percent <br> Positive | Teachers | Teachers Percent Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent <br> Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Muı doricinnc in aroac curh ac inctrurtion and ctuido | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| Teachers at my school are encouraged to develop innovative solutions to problems. | 21-22 |  |  | 50 | 96.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 96.1\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 96.8\% |  |  |
| I feel comfortable raising issues and concerns that are important to me. | 21-22 |  |  | 51 | 86.3\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 98.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 96.8\% |  |  |
| Sufficient resources are available to allow teachers to take advantage of professional development activities. | 21-22 |  |  | 50 | 96.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 93.6\% |  |  |
| My class sizes allow me to meet the educational needs of my students. | 21-22 |  |  | 51 | 82.4\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 82.3\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 77.5\% |  |  |
| I AM SATISFIED WITH MY CURRENT WORKING CONDITIONS. | 21-22 |  |  | 50 | 96.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 98.1\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 93.6\% |  |  |


| Question | Year | Students | Student <br> Percent Positive | Teachers | Teachers Percent Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent <br> Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOME-SCHOOL RELATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I AM SATISFIED WITH HOME-SCHOOL RELATIONS. | 21-22 | 747 | 96.4\% |  |  | 41 | 90.2\% |
|  | 20-21 | 178 | 87.7\% | 51 | 98.1\% | 349 | 67.6\% |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 79.1\% | 62 | 100.0\% | 81 | 77.8\% |
| My parent knows what I am expected to learn in school. | 21-22 | 760 | 92.7\% |  |  | 52 | 82.7\% |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 80.5\% |  |  | 351 | 78.0\% |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 78.5\% |  |  | 80 | 90.0\% |
| My parent knows how well I am doing in school. | 21-22 | 766 | 97.6\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 94.4\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 90.7\% |  |  |  |  |
| My school informs parents about school programs and activities. | 21-22 | 764 | 93.5\% | 50 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 87.7\% | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 86.1\% | 62 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| Parents at my school know their children's homework assignments. | 21-22 | 744 | 74.3\% | 51 | 96.1\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 178 | 61.3\% | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 49.7\% | 62 | 98.4\% |  |  |
| My parent helps me with my homework when I need it. | 21-22 | 749 | 87.0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 77.1\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 77.2\% |  |  |  |  |
| Parents are welcomed at my school. | 21-22 | 739 | 95.0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 90.0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 93.7\% |  |  |  |  |
| Parents volunteer and participate in activities at my school. | 21-22 | 729 | 83.3\% | 43 | 88.4\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 178 | 72.5\% | 51 | 72.6\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 77.8\% | 62 | 92.0\% |  |  |
| My child's teachers contact me to say good things about my child. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 49 | 65.3\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 351 | 84.0\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 81 | 44.5\% |
| My child's teachers tell me how I can help my child learn. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 47 | 63.9\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 346 | 47.4\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 81 | 54.3\% |
| Page 36 of 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Question | Year | Students | Student <br> Percent <br> Positive | Teachers | Teachers Percent Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent <br> Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| My child's teachers invite me to visit my child's classrooms during the school day. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 52 | 94.3\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 347 | 54.4\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 81 | 35.8\% |
| My child's school returns my phone calls or e-mails promptly. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 51 | 90.2\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 348 | 77.3\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 81 | 79.0\% |
| Parents are involved in school decisions. | 21-22 |  |  | 51 | 98.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 96.1\% | 350 | 80.3\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 90.3\% | 81 | 66.6\% |
| My child's school considers changes based on what parents say. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 51 | 84.3\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 351 | 45.5\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 51.3\% |
| My child's school schedules activities at times that I can attend. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 51 | 84.3\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 351 | 78.4\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 81 | 67.9\% |
| My child's school treats all students fairly. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 50 | 98.0\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 351 | 88.6\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 79 | 74.7\% |
| The principal at my child's school is available and welcoming. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 50 | 90.0\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 350 | 80.5\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 86.3\% |
| Parents at my school are aware of school policies. | 21-22 |  |  | 51 | 92.2\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 96.8\% |  |  |
| Parents at my school understand the school's instructional programs. | 21-22 |  |  | 51 | 98.1\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 96.8\% |  |  |
| Parents at my school support instructional decisions regarding their children. | 21-22 |  |  | 51 | 94.1\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 96.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 61 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| Parents attend conferences requested by teachers at my school. | 21-22 |  |  | 50 | 98.0\% | age 37 | of 60 |


| Question | Year | Students | Student <br> Percent Positive | Teachers | Teachers Percent Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent <br> Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents attend conferences requested by teachers at my school. | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 98.4\% |  |  |
| Parents at my school cooperate regarding discipline problems. | 21-22 |  |  | 48 | 87.5\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 96.1\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 95.2\% |  |  |
| Parents attend school meetings and other school events. | 21-22 |  |  | 49 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 98.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 61 | 96.7\% |  |  |



| Question | Year | Students | Student <br> Percent <br> Positive | Teachers | Teachers <br> Percent <br> Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| My teachers give homework assignments that help me learn better. | 21-22 | 733 | 72.6\% |  |  | 48 | 79.2\% |
|  | 20-21 | 178 | 59.0\% |  |  | 350 | 78.6\% |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 64.1\% |  |  | 79 | 87.4\% |
| My classes are interesting and fun. | 21-22 | 748 | 69.5\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 59.3\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 36.5\% |  |  |  |  |
| Students at my school believe they can do good work. | 21-22 | 726 | 74.4\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 67.6\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 58.5\% |  |  |  |  |
| My teachers praise students when they do good work. | 21-22 | 741 | 78.9\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 77.1\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 72.1\% |  |  |  |  |
| Work done by students can be seen on the walls of my school. | 21-22 | 757 | 88.4\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 178 | 87.6\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 90.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| The textbooks and workbooks I use at my school really help me to learn. | 21-22 | 715 | 59.3\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 55.3\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 37.5\% |  |  |  |  |
| The media center at my school has a good selection of books. | 21-22 | 684 | 89.0\% | 49 | 95.9\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 177 | 78.6\% | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 299 | 74.3\% | 62 | 96.7\% |  |  |
| I use computers and other technology at my school to help me learn. | 21-22 | 763 | 98.0\% | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 178 | 94.9\% | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 91.0\% | 62 | 98.4\% |  |  |
| Teachers at my school effectively implement the State Curriculum Standards. | 21-22 |  |  | 50 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 61 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| Student assessment information is effectively used by teachers to plan instruction. | 21-22 |  |  | 51 | 96.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 98.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 96.8\% |  |  |
| My school offers effective programs for students with disabilities. | 21-22 |  |  | 50 | 100.0\% | age 40 | of 60 |



| Question | Year | Students | Student <br> Percent <br> Positive | Teachers | Teachers Percent Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent <br> Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The erhnnl arminictratinn cote hinh ctandarde fnrc | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 96.7\% |  |  |
| The school administration has high expectations for teacher performance. | 21-22 |  |  | 51 | 96.1\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| The school administration provides effective instructional leadership. | 21-22 |  |  | 51 | 90.2\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 98.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 96.7\% |  |  |
| Student assessment information is used to set goals and plan programs for my school. | 21-22 |  |  | 50 | 92.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 96.1\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 98.4\% |  |  |
| Teacher evaluation at my school focuses on instructional improvement. | 21-22 |  |  | 50 | 98.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 98.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 95.2\% |  |  |
| School administrators visit classrooms to observe instruction. | 21-22 |  |  | 51 | 82.4\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 96.1\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 90.3\% |  |  |
| The school administration arranges for collaberative planning and decision making. | 21-22 |  |  | 51 | 94.1\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 51 | 98.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 62 | 96.7\% |  |  |


| Question | Year | Students | Student Percent Positive | Teachers | Teachers Percent Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent <br> Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOCIAL AND PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I AM SATISFIED WITH THE SOCIAL AND PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AT MY SCHOOL. | 21-22 | 747 | 90.5\% | 50 | 96.0\% | 52 | 80.8\% |
|  | 20-21 | 178 | 87.6\% | 51 | 100.0\% | 182 | 53.3\% |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 80.4\% | 62 | 96.7\% | 79 | 91.2\% |
| The grounds around my school are kept clean. | 21-22 | 753 | 91.6\% | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 91.1\% | 50 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 90.7\% | 62 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| The hallways at my school are kept clean. | 21-22 | 762 | 93.9\% | 51 | 100.0\% | 50 | 98.0\% |
|  | 20-21 | 178 | 89.9\% | 50 | 100.0\% | 350 | 81.4\% |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 94.0\% | 62 | 96.8\% | 81 | 96.3\% |
| The bathrooms at my school are kept clean. | 21-22 | 755 | 71.1\% | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 68.8\% | 50 | 98.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 63.8\% | 62 | 96.8\% |  |  |
| Broken things at my school get fixed. | 21-22 | 751 | 91.8\% | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 178 | 89.4\% | 50 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 87.4\% | 62 | 95.2\% |  |  |
| There is enough room for students to learn at my school. | 21-22 | 760 | 96.3\% | 51 | 92.1\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 178 | 94.9\% | 50 | 92.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 87.4\% | 62 | 85.5\% |  |  |
| Students at my school behave well in class. | 21-22 | 753 | 60.4\% | 50 | 88.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 59.8\% | 50 | 92.0\% | 351 | 89.2\% |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 52.7\% | 62 | 95.2\% | 80 | 63.8\% |
| Students at my school behave well in the hallways, in the lunchroom, and on school grounds. | 21-22 | 740 | 47.6\% | 50 | 88.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 52.5\% | 51 | 92.1\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 41.9\% | 62 | 87.1\% |  |  |
| Students at my school know the rules and what happens when students break the rules. | 21-22 | 753 | 88.0\% | 51 | 62.7\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 82.7\% | 51 | 86.3\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 79.8\% | 62 | 87.1\% |  |  |
| The rules about how students should behave in my school are fair. | 21-22 | 751 | 88.5\% | 51 | 88.2\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 83.2\% | 51 | 98.1\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 300 | 68.7\% | 62 | 96.7\% |  |  |
| Page 43 of 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Question | Year | Students | Student <br> Percent <br> Positive | Teachers | Teachers <br> Percent <br> Positive | Parents | Parents Percent Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The rules for behavior are enforced at my school. | 21-22 | 746 | 92.0\% | 51 | 70.6\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 178 | 89.3\% | 51 | 90.2\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 300 | 85.3\% | 62 | 79.1\% |  |  |
| I feel safe at my school before and after school hours. | 21-22 | 751 | 95.1\% | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 94.4\% | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 86.1\% | 62 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| I feel safe at my school during the school day. | 21-22 | 756 | 95.1\% | 51 | 100.0\% | 52 | 86.5\% |
|  | 20-21 | 177 | 92.6\% | 51 | 100.0\% | 348 | 52.9\% |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 86.4\% | 62 | 100.0\% | 80 | 91.3\% |
| I feel safe going to or coming from my school. | 21-22 | 763 | 97.1\% | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 92.1\% | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 90.7\% | 62 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| Students from different backgrounds get along well at my school. | 21-22 | 751 | 88.0\% | 50 | 92.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 86.0\% | 51 | 94.1\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 75.4\% | 62 | 91.9\% |  |  |
| Teachers and students get along well with each other at my school. | 21-22 | 746 | 90.4\% | 51 | 98.1\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 84.9\% | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 77.1\% | 62 | 98.4\% |  |  |
| Teachers work together to help students at my school. | 21-22 | 749 | 93.9\% | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 177 | 88.7\% | 51 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 301 | 83.4\% | 62 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| **। have seen or know of another student being bullied. | ${ }^{*}$ (Denotes reverse coded questions) |  |  | 0-14.9\% Green | - 15-24.9\% | ow $25 \%$ plus Red |  |
|  | 21-22 | 721 | 47.4\% | 50 | 10.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 54.2\% | 51 | 4.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 60.5\% | 62 | 14.5\% |  |  |
| **। have been bullied at the school during the school day. | **(Denotes reverse coded questions) 0-14.9\% Green |  |  |  | 15-24.9\% Yellow 2 |  | 25\% plus Red |
|  | 21-22 | 738 | 23.7\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 178 | 25.3\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 26.5\% |  |  |  |  |
| **\| have bullied another student at my school. | ${ }^{* *}$ (Denotes reverse coded questions) $0-14.9 \%$ Green |  |  |  | 15-24.9\% Yellow 25 |  | 25\% plus Red |
|  | 21-22 | 751 | 10.4\% | 50 | 12.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 10.1\% | 51 | 9.8\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 10.6\% | 62 | 21.0\% | - 4 | f 60 |


| Question | Year | Students | Student Percent Positive | Teachers | Teachers <br> Percent <br> Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent <br> Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| **। have been bullied while going to or from school. | ${ }^{* *}$ (Denotes reverse coded questions) $0-14.9 \%$ Green |  |  |  | 15-24.9\% Yellow 2 | ow $25 \%$ plus Red |  |
|  | 21-22 | 745 | 14.3\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 15.7\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 13.5\% |  |  |  |  |
| **। have been bullied by someone from my school using a computer, a cell phone or other electronic devices. | **(Denotes reverse coded questions) 0-14.9\% Green |  |  |  | 15-24.9\% Yellow 2 |  | 25\% plus Red |
|  | 21-22 | 743 | 14.1\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 16.8\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 16.9\% |  |  |  |  |
| Adults at my school prevent bullying from happening. | 21-22 | 736 | 76.2\% | 49 | 87.7\% | 48 | 68.8\% |
|  | 20-21 | 178 | 71.4\% | 51 | 96.1\% | 350 | 55.7\% |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 55.9\% | 62 | 98.3\% | 80 | 66.3\% |
| I can always go to adults at my school if I am being bullied. | 21-22 | 740 | 82.4\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 70.9\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 63.9\% |  |  |  |  |
| An adult at my school has talked to me about bullying. | 21-22 | 725 | 76.0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 179 | 76.0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 302 | 76.2\% |  |  |  |  |
| My child's teachers care about my child as an individual. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 42 | 76.2\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 351 | 85.4\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 81 | 82.7\% |
| My child's school has an anti-bullying program to prevent or deal with bullying. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 42 | 76.2\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 351 | 81.2\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 73.8\% |
| The IGP conference was beneficial to my child as he/she prepares to be promoted to the next grade level. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 180 | 58.4\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 79 | 84.8\% |
| During the IGP conference, the counselors discussed my child's academic progress and his/her career goals. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 182 | 61.5\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 79 | 95.0\% |
| I recommend that all parents/guardians attend IGP conferences with their children. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 345 | 59.8\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 87.6\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Page 45 of 60 |  |  |

## Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

School Name: Meadow Glen Middle School

Per SBE Regulation 43-261, the annual needs assessment will provide focus for planning teams to set priorities for the plan. The comprehensive needs assessment must identify targeted areas of discrepancy between the desired performance levels and the current status as indicated by available data. Any discrepancies in the following areas identified by the school and district report cards must be included in the plan: (1) achievement, (2) achievement by subgroups, (3) graduation rates, (4) attendance, (5) discipline, (6) teacher/administrator quality and professional growth, and (7) other priority areas.

Measurable performance goals, written in five-year increments, shall be developed to address the major areas of discrepancy found in the needs assessment in key areas reported in the district and school report cards.

State Report Card for districts and schools data:
http://ed.sc.gov/data/report-cards/state-report-cards/
Directions: In the appropriate boxes, use school data to identify areas in need of improvement. Required areas to be addressed: Student Achievement, Teacher/Administrator Quality, and School Climate.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline \text { Student Achievement } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Meadow Glen Middle is a large suburban middle school located in } \\
\text { Lexington, SC with over 800 students in grades 6-8. The school } \\
\text { opened in 2012. The current school year (2022-2023) } \\
\text { demographics include: 66\% of students are White, 11\% Black or } \\
\text { (K-2) Student } \\
\text { Elementary/ Middle School } \\
\text { (3-8) Student } \\
\text { students are two or more races. 2022 School Report Card data } \\
\text { show over 34\% of students are identified as Gifted or Talented and } \\
\text { almost 53\% of students in 7th or 8th grades are enrolled in high } \\
\text { school credit courses. }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Hchool (9-12) Student\end{array}\right\}\)| According to 2010 US Census data, the population in Lexington |
| :--- |
| County was 262,453 and the most recent 2020 US Census data |
| showed the population in Lexington County was 293,991, with a |
| growth rate of 12\%. In comparison, the national growth average |
| from 2010 to 2020 was 7.4\%. Updates to the 2020 US Census |
| show an additional 2\% population increase in Lexington County to |
| 300,137 from 2020 to 2021. The substantial growth has placed |
| increased demand on the county and school. Thus, the district |
| opened a new middle school which had a direct impact on our |
| school population in both size and makeup. As a result, we |
| prioritized scheduling common planning for all teachers which |
| proved to be appropriate due to student and staffing changes. |

## Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

|  | opening of the new middle school in the district, we now have <br> approximately 815 students enrolled. However, even with the <br> enrollment changes, the Asian student demographic continues to <br> increase each year. This year, we have 84 Asian students, the <br> highest number over the last four school years. Currently, 10\% of <br> students receive special education services, and 25\% of students <br> are identified as students in poverty. However, MGMS was ranked <br> second in the midlands for percent of students in poverty scoring <br> met or higher on SC Ready in both ELA and math. <br> Area of Improvement: <br> In the 2021-2022 school year, MGM set a goal for all students to <br> increase 2\% in both ELA and Math on SC Ready. Last school year, <br> $64 \%$ of students scored met or higher in ELA and 51\% of students <br> scored met or higher in math. Results indicate we maintained the <br> same percentage of students scoring met or higher in ELA and <br> grew 1\%, to 52\%, met or higher in math. MGM continues to grow <br> all students in meeting and exceeding ELA and math standards. <br> This year, for 2022-2023, we will focus on increasing scores for <br> students scoring not met or approaching proficient by providing <br> extra support for our black students and students with special <br> needs population. <br> Next Steps: <br> Our 2022-2023 Work Plan goals are grounded in Mastery of <br> Knowledge and Skills, Character, and High Quality Work. For <br> Mastery of Knowledge and Skills, we want to see all members of <br> the Meadow Glen Middle School community immersed in <br> challenging, engaging learning that empowers tenacious leaders <br> who communicate and collaborate with integrity. For Character, we <br> expect that all members of the Meadow Glen Middle School <br> community will develop the characteristics and mindsets needed to <br> become effective learners and ethical people who contribute to a <br> better world. For High Quality Work, our goal is that all members of <br> the Meadow Glen Middle School community will experience an <br> ongoing process of revision, feedback, and reflection leading to <br> meaningful products that exemplify complexity and craftsmanship <br> for an authentic audience. <br> 2022-2023 Performance Benchmark Goals include: <br> $45 \%$ of all MGM students will reach their iReady stretch goals in <br> both ELA and math. Additionally, all students will grow by 2\% in <br> SCREADY ELA and Math. <br> $-\quad$ In ELA , overall, we will grow from 64\% meets and exceeds <br> to 66\% meets and exceeds. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\quad-\quad$ In Math, overall, we will grow from 52\% meets and exceeds |  |
| to 54\% meets and exceeds. |  |
| $-\quad$ In ELA, the percentage of black students scoring meets or |  |
| exceeds will increase by 2\% from 28\% to 30\%. |  |

## Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

|  | In math, the percentage of black students scoring meets or <br> exceeds will increase by 2\% from 20\% to $22 \%$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Teacher/Administrator <br> Quality | In 2021-2021 MGM teachers engaged in intentional professional <br> learning about designing lessons that challenge and empower <br> students through the use of learning targets and classroom <br> protocols. This year, 2022-2023, our focus expanded to learning <br> more about data-driven practices in the classroom with our <br> teachers through professional learning. All teachers were trained <br> on iReady at multiple touchpoints throughout the school year. We <br> experienced best practices with several learning walks and <br> walkthrough observations to celebrate teaching and learning at <br> MGM. Going forward we plan to continue supporting teachers and <br> students in tracking their learning and progress by implementing |
| learning target trackers and providing additional professional |  |
| learning for our house leaders. |  |
| At Meadow Glen Middle we aspire to continue implementing |  |
| restorative practices, as part of best practices in classroom |  |
| management. 2021-2022 discipline data show a total of 195 |  |
| students received 555 behavior referrals. The majority of students |  |
| were male, making up 69\% of students who received referrals. |  |
| Most referrals were related to inappropriate behavior, hit/kick/push, |  |
| or disrespect. At the start of the 2022-2023 school year, only 8\% of |  |
| students at MGM received at least one discipline referral. Of that |  |
| 8\%, 38 students received only one referral, making up almost 58\% |  |
| of all referrals for the first half of the year. The remaining 28 |  |
| students were responsible for 94 referrals or approximately 30\% of |  |
| referrals for the first half of the school year. The majority of those |  |
| recurring referrals were students of color (54\%), specifically male |  |
| students (79\%). |  |

## Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings
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|  | learning on the student. Teachers work with students to review <br> their learning strengths and areas for growth. Students create a <br> presentation with individual data to share with their parents and <br> loved ones. Teachers practice with students to ensure they are <br> confident and ready to share what they know about how they learn. <br> The five Habits of Success at Meadow Glen Middle are tenacity, <br> leadership, communication, collaboration, and integrity. The habits <br> are grounded in the aspects of character which include being an <br> effective learner, ethical person, and building a better world. We <br> communicate these habits to students daily by repeating the <br> mantra: "I am a tenacious leader who communicates and <br> collaborates with integrity." The habits promote perseverance, <br> student leadership, feedback, participation, and preparation. <br> Students are immersed in the habits daily through classroom <br> learning targets, group work, and interacting positively while at <br> school. <br> Over the years, Meadow Glen Middle students, teachers, and staff <br> have participated in many different service projects. Various <br> outreach programs have included collecting nonperishables for <br> Harvest Hope, working with Teen Cancer America, honoring <br> service members for Veterans Day, volunteering for Families of <br> Hope, advocating against Deforestation, raising funds for Relay for <br> Life, and for access to clean water in Sudan. <br> At MGM, we value student voice and a sense of belonging. CREW <br> strengthens students' sense of belonging at school. Students have <br> CREW every day with the same teacher and a small group of <br> students. Students learn a variety of topics from adjusting to middle <br> school classes to proper technology use. We recognize the <br> importance of building relationships between students and adults. |
| :--- | :--- |
| We have various programs at MGM which emphasize the |  |
| importance of accepting and including all people. Project Unify |  |
| welcomes students with disabilities in physical education alongside |  |
| general education student peers. In class, students are |  |
| encouraged to participate regardless of ability. Activities and |  |
| games are modified to reflect inclusivity and teamwork. Meadow |  |
| Glen also offers various physical education classes; outdoor |  |
| education, dance, and general physical education. Students have |  |
| opportunities to learn how to cast a fishing line or modern dance |  |
| techniques. |  |
| At MGM, the whole child is celebrated and nurtured so that every |  |
| student can become the best version of themselves. "Take care of |  |
| yourself, take care of each other." |  |

## System Commitments

The idea of 'system commitments'rather than strategic goals indicates that these are promises we make to ourselves, our students and our community, rather than numerical goals to check off. Annual performance goals will be driven by our commitments.

1. All students, regardless of circumstances, advance on time, prepared to graduate and ready to enter college, the military or industry with certification.
2. Teaching and learning develop power skills in all students.
3. Our schools are service-oriented centers of learning, committed to family and community partnerships.
4. Every adult will be equipped with the skills and resources necessary to advocate for and ensure the success of all students.

## Strategic Areas of Emphasis

- Literacy and Numeracy
- High Impact Teaching and Learning
- Leadership Development
- Opportunity and Access


## South Carolina State Department of Education Required Goal Categories

- Student Achievement
- Teacher and Administrator Quality
- School Climate
- Gifted and Talented


## Performance Goals

1. The district will increase the percentage of students who are progressing on-time with the requisite skills for success at their current grade levels.
2. The district will implement strategies to improve equity in high level coursework.
3. The district will implement strategies to improve performance in high level coursework.
4. The district will improve the conditions that lead to student success in each school by utilizing a system of advocacy for each child that facilitates healthy social and emotional growth.
5. The district will increase opportunities for district personnel to participate in collaborative professional learning opportunities that impact student achievement.
6. The district will implement strategies to improve customer service, parent engagement, and community involvement.

Performance Goal 1 : The district will increase the percentage of students who are progressing on-time with the requisite skills for success at their current grade levels.

## System Commitment(s): 1

State Department Category: Student Achievement
Strategic Area of Emphasis:
Literacy and numeracy, High impact teaching and learning

## Reading Plan Goal:

| School Level | Measure | Grade | Baseline | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle | \% of students meeting or exceeding standard on SC READY ELA will increase annually by 2 points. | Grades 6-8 | 56.1\% | 58.0\% | 60.0\% | 62.0\% | 66.6\% | 68.6\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 61.5\% |  | 64.6\% | 64.6\% |  |
|  | \% of students meeting or exceeding standard on SC READY Math will increase annually by 2 points. | Grades 6-8 | 55.0\% | 56.9\% | 58.9\% | 60.9\% | 62.9\% | 64.9\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 57.6\% |  | 51.0\% | 52.0\% |  |
|  | \% of students in Tier 2 or Tier 3 interventions for math and/or reading will decrease annually by 0.5 points. | Grade 8 | 3.5\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | -0.5\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 5.2\% |  |


| Action Plan for Performance Goal 1: |  |  |  |  | Evaluation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. The school will engage in an ongoing series of planning meetings with a district support team to evaluate student achievement, strategies for improvement, and implementation plans for district strategic initiatives, leading to more impactful supports for all students. | May 2018 June 2019 | Principal | None | n/a | Tiered system of support matrix |
| 2. With support from the district's central services, implement a research-based instructional model in mathematics and language arts that increases student success in literacy and numeracy. | May 2018 June 2019 | ELA Coordinator, Math Coordinator, ELA and Math Leadership Teams | None | n/a | Professional learning experiences for teachers and administrators, classroom observations, student achievement data review, teacher reflection data |
| 3. Research the impact of time, space and place on student achievement and make recommendations for learner-centered scheduling decisions. | May 2018 - <br> December <br> 2018 | Principal, Secondary Director, Elementary Director | None | n/a | Scheduling recommendations for 2019-2020 |
| 4. Expand intervention approaches for all students using varied methods of service. | May 2018 June 2019 | RTI Coordinator, Lead Interventionist, Special Education Coordinators, GT Coordinator | None | n/a | Scheduling recommendations for 2019-2020Student achievement results measured by school-based data teams |
| 5. Leverage the SC Teaching Standards 4.0 to empower all teachers to engage all students in high impact learning experiences that cultivate the application of identified power skills. | May 2018 June 2021 | Principal, Assistant Principals | None | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | Student engagement survey results, professional learning plans based upon observation data |

Performance Goal 2 : The district will implement strategies to improve equity in high level coursework.
System Commitment(s): 1, 2
State Department Category: Gifted and Talented, Teacher and Administrator Quality, School Climate, Student Achievement

Strategic Area of Emphasis:
Opportunity and Access, High impact teaching and learning

## Reading Plan Goal:

| School Level | Measure | Grade | Baseline | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle | Accelerated/honors course enrollment equity indices for minority groups will increase by 0.03 annually. | Grades 6-8 | 0.415 | 0.445 | 0.475 | 0.549 | 0.689 | 0.718 |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 0.557 | 0.519 | 0.659 | 0.551 |  |


| Action Plan for Performance Goal 2: |  |  |  |  | Evaluation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. The school will engage in an ongoing series of planning meetings with a district support team to evaluate student achievement, strategies for improvement, and implementation plans for district strategic initiatives, leading to more impactful supports for all students. | May 2018 - <br> June 2019 | Director of Assessment and Accountability, Elementary Director, Secondary Director | None | n/a | Tiered system of support matrix |
| 2. Increase school and teacher access to high quality data resources in order to identify skill gaps that impede individual students' access to gifted and talented programs or high level coursework. | May 2018 August 2018 | Director of Accountability, Principal | None | n/a | District Data Dashboard |
| 3. Provide supports to students based on identified needs to cultivate growth in the skill sets needed to qualify for enrichment and accelerated coursework. | May 2018 June 2019 | Principal, ELA <br> Coordinator, Math <br> Coordinator,GT <br> Coordinator | None | n/a | Curriculum Unit Plans, SLOs documenting approaches used to achieve specific growth targets for individual students, student achievement results |
| 4. Review and revise coursework at all levels to be more inclusive and responsive to all students' backgrounds and varied experiences. | May 2018 June 2019 | Content <br> Coordinators, Lead Teachers | None | n/a | Comparison of assessment results from 2017-2018 to 2018-2019, revised CUPs, revised assessments |
| 5. Research and apply support structures for nontraditional students in order to increase the students' success rates and continued participation in accelerated coursework. | May 2018 June 2019 | Principal, GT Coordinator, Lead Teachers | None | n/a | Comparison of student success data in high level coursework from 2017-2018 to 2018-2019. |

Performance Goal 3 : The district will implement strategies to improve performance in high level coursework.

## System Commitment(s): 1

State Department Category: Gifted and Talented, Student Achievement

## Strategic Area of Emphasis:

High Impact Teaching and Learning, Literacy and Numeracy
Reading Plan Goal: 4

| School Level | Measure | Grade | Baseline | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle | \% scoring Exceeds on SC READY ELA subject test will increase by 1.5 points annually | Grades 6-8 | 23.4\% | 24.9\% | 26.4\% | 26.7\% | 32.7\% | 34.1\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 25.5\% |  | 31.2\% | 30.2\% |  |
|  | \% scoring Exceeds on SC READY Math subject test will increase by 1.5 points annually | Grades 6-8 | 27.4\% | 28.9\% | 30.4\% | 31.9\% | 33.4\% | 34.9\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 29.4\% |  | 24.3\% | 26.0\% |  |
|  | \% scoring Exceeds on SCPASS Science subject test will increase by 1.5 points annually | Grades 6-8 | 33.1\% | 34.5\% | 36.0\% | 36.1\% | 38.3\% | 39.7\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 30.9\% |  | 36.8\% | 36.4\% |  |
|  | \% scoring Exceeds on SCPASS Social Studies subject test will increase by 1.5 points annually | Grades 6-8 | 51.2\% | 52.7\% | 54.2\% | 55.4\% | 56.9\% | 58.4\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 49.9\% |  |  |  |  |


| Action Plan for Performance Goal 3: |  |  |  |  | Evaluation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. The school will engage in an ongoing series of planning meetings with a district support team to evaluate student achievement, strategies for improvement, and implementation plans for district strategic initiatives, leading to more impactful supports for all students. | May 2018 - <br> June 2019 | Principal, Director of Accountability, Elementary Director, Secondary Director | None | n/a | Tiered system of support matrix |
| 2. Increase school and teacher access to high quality data resources in order to identify skill gaps that impede individual students' access to gifted and talented programs or high level coursework. | May 2018 - <br> August 2018 | Principal, Director of Accountability | None | n/a | District Data Dashboard |
| 3. Provide supports to students based on identified needs to cultivate growth in the skillsets needed to qualify for enrichment and accelerated coursework. | May 2018 August 2018 | Principal, ELA <br> Coordinator, Math Coordinator, GT Coordinator | None | n/a | Curriculum Unit Plans, SLOs documenting approaches used to achieve specific growth targets for individual students, student achievement results |
| 4. Review and revise coursework at all levels to be more inclusive and responsive to all students' backgrounds and varied experiences. | May 2018 June 2019 | Content <br> Coordinators, Lead Teachers | None | n/a | Comparison of assessment results from 2017-2018 to 2018-2019, revised CUPs, revised assessments |
| 5. Research and apply support structures for all students in order to increase all students' success rates and continued participation in accelerated | May 2018 June 2019 | Principal, GT Coordinator, Lead Teachers | None | n/a | Comparison of student success data in high level coursework from 2017-2018 |

Performance Goal 4 : The district will improve the conditions that lead to student success in each school by utilizing a system of advocacy for each child that facilitates healthy social and emotional growth.

System Commitment(s): 1, 2, 4
State Department Category:
School Climate, Teacher/Admin Quality
Strategic Area of Emphasis:
Opportunities and Access, Leadership Development
Reading Plan Goal:

| School Level | Measure | Grade | Baseline | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle | \% of students chronically absent will decrease by 0.5 points annually. | Grades 6-8 | 6.0\% | 5.5\% | 5.0\% | 4.5\% | 4.0\% | 3.5\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 5.6\% | 8.0\% | 19.1\% | 15.0\% |  |
|  | \% of students with 1 or more out-of-school suspensions or expulsions will decrease by 0.5 points annually. | Grades 6-8 | 4.1\% | 3.5\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.0\% | 1.5\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 4.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.7\% | 4.3\% |  |
|  | SC READY equity indices for minority groups will increase by 0.03 annually. | Grades 6-8 | 0.494 | 0.524 | 0.554 | 0.581 | 0.728 | 0.757 |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 0.560 |  | 0.698 | 0.615 |  |


| Action Plan for Performance Goal 4: |  |  |  |  | Evaluation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. The school will engage in an ongoing series of planning meetings with a district support team to evaluate student achievement, strategies for improvement, and implementation plans for district strategic initiatives, leading to more impactful supports for all students. | May 2018 June 2019 | Principal, Director of Accountability, Elementary Director, Secondary Director, School Data Teams | None | n/a | Tiered system of support matrix |
| 2. Establish a wellness team to support the physical, social, and emotional health of students and staff. | August 2018 | Principal, Director of School <br> Counseling,Lead Nurse | None | n/a | Wellness team orientation program, monthly monitoring of team records. |
| 3. Utilize district Mental health resources, including counselors shared between schools, in order to provide service to students. | Fall of 2018 to Fall of 2019 | Principal, Director of School Counseling |  |  | HR Records |
| 4. Implement a system whereby each student is well known by an adult advocate who supports the student's social, emotional, and academic development. | August 2018Ongoing | Principal, Director of School Counseling, <br> Director of Elementary Schools, Director of Secondary Schools |  |  | Status updates from School Wellness Teams and schoollevel directors of school counseling |
| 5. Student behavior policies will be reviewed and revised to ensure that appropriate interventions are used to support student success. | August 2018Ongoing | Principal, Director of Student Services |  |  | Revision of current board policies. |
| 6. Provide student-centered transition supports for students entering or leaving the school for any reason, including enrolling in or returning from alternative placement, home-based services, illness, | Fall of 2018 | Principal, SLT, Director of Student Services, Director of School Counseling, |  |  | Status updates from School Wellness Teams and schoollevel directors of school counseling |

Director of
Elementary Schools
Director of
Secondary Schools,
District RTI
Coordinator

Performance Goal 5: The district will increase opportunities for district personnel to participate in collaborative professional learning opportunities that impact student achievement.

## System Commitment(s): 4

State Department Category: Teacher/Admin Quality

## Strategic Area of Emphasis: Leadership Development

Reading Plan Goal:

| School Level | Measure | Grade | Baseline | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle | Positive responses to the SCDE survey item "Sufficient resources are available to allow teachers to take advantage of professional development activities." will reach $98 \%$ by 2021 |  | 90.6\% | 93.1\% | 95.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.0\% | 98.0\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 93.6\% |  | 100.0\% | 96.0\% |  |
|  | Positive responses to the SCDE survey item "Teachers at my school are encouraged to develop innovative solutions to problems." will reach $98 \%$ by 2021 |  | 98.4\% | 98.0\% | 98.0\% | 98.0\% | 98.0\% | 98.0\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 96.8\% |  | 96.1\% | 96.0\% |  |


| Action Plan for Performance Goal 5: |  |  |  |  | Evaluation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. The school will engage in an ongoing series of planning meetings with a district support team to evaluate student achievement, strategies for improvement, and implementation plans for district strategic initiatives, leading to more impactful supports for all students. | May 2018 - <br> June 2019 | Principal, Director of Accountability, Elementary Director, Secondary Director | None | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | Tiered system of support matrix |
| 2. Design a school professional learning plan aligned with system commitments and strategic areas of emphasis (opportunities and access, literacy and numeracy, high-impact teaching and learning, and leadership development) | May 2018 - <br> December <br> 2018 | Principal, Director of Professional Learning and Leadership Development | \$22,400 | General Budget | School Professional Learning Plan |
| 3. Working with central services office of leadership development, implement a competency-based educational leadership system to develop and support teacher leaders, assistant principals, and aspiring principals. | May 2018 - <br> June 2019 | Principal, Director of Professional Learning and Leadership Development, Director of Human Resources | \$108,200 | General Budget | Retention of high-performing employees, Transparency of development and hiring process at all leadership levels, Staff engagement and commitment to the development process, Increase in pool of prospective school leaders |
| 4. Design or redesign structures that empower educator collaboration and professional learning opportunities to more effectively support student outcomes. | May 2018 - <br> Nov. 2018 | Principal, Elementary Director, Secondary Director, Teacher Leadership Council | None | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | Proposal for changes to collaborative planning, vertical planning opportunities and schoolbased PLCs presented to principals, November 2018 |
| 5. Create model classrooms and labsites for visible | 2018-2019 PK | ELA Coordinator, | None | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | Professional learning |


| implementation of workshop model in reading, | 8 ELA and | Math Coordinator, |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| writing, and math PK-8 and Student Engagement |  |  |  |  |
| Model in 9-12 | Math; 2019- <br> 2020 <br> Secondary | ELA and Math <br> Leadership Teams |  | experiences for teachers and <br> administrators, classroom <br> observations, student <br> achievement data review, |
| teacher reflection data |  |  |  |  |

Performance Goal 6 : The district will implement strategies to improve customer service, parent engagement, and community involvement.

## System Commitment(s): 3

## State Department Category: <br> Strategic Area of Emphasis: <br> Teacher and Administrator Quality, School Climate <br> Leadership Development

## Reading Plan Goal:

| School Level | Measure | Grade | Baseline | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle | \% of positive student and parent responses on the state survey metric "I am satisfied with the social and physical environment at my school" will reach $95 \%$ by 2019-20. |  | 81.0\% | 88.0\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 82.6\% |  | 70.3\% | 89.9\% |  |
|  | \% of positive student and parent responses on the state survey metric "I am satisfied with home/school relations at my school" will reach 95\% by 2019-20. |  | 82.1\% | 88.6\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 78.8\% |  | 74.4\% | 96.1\% |  |


| Action Plan for Performance Goal 6: |  |  |  |  | Evaluation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Customer service efforts will be supported and monitored through the school's ongoing improvement meetings with central services. | August 2018 ongoing | Principal, Director of Elementary Schools, Director of Secondary Schools | None | n/a | Tiered system of support matrix |
| 2. Define job-specific customer service skills for all employees as part of on-boarding and annual HR training. | August 2018 | Principal, Director of Human Resources | None | n/a | HR training modules |
| 3. Increase feedback opportunities for students and parents through on-site events, digital communication, and sampling tools. | August 2018 ongoing | Principal, Director of Accountability | None | n/a | Customer Service/SchoolHome Relations data available on district dashboard |

